

NeuroToxicology

NeuroToxicology xxx (2003) xxx-xxx

# The Utility of Biological Monitoring for Manganese in Ferroalloy Smelter Workers in South Africa

Jonathan E. Myers<sup>1,\*</sup>, Mary Lou Thompson<sup>1,3</sup>, Inakshi Naik<sup>2</sup>, Penny Theodorou<sup>2</sup>, Eric Esswein<sup>2,4</sup>, Halina Tassell<sup>2</sup>, Aarti Daya<sup>2</sup>, Kevin Renton<sup>2</sup>, Adri Spies<sup>2</sup>, Janice Paicker<sup>5</sup>, Taryn Young<sup>1</sup>, Mohamed Jeebhay<sup>1</sup>, Suzan Ramushu<sup>1</sup>, Leslie London<sup>1</sup>, David J. Rees<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Occupational and Environmental Health Research Unit, School of Public Health and Primary Health Care,

11 Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, Anzio Road Observatory, 7925 Cape Town, South Africa

12 <sup>2</sup>National Centre for Occupational Health, South Africa

13 <sup>3</sup>Department of Biostatistics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

<sup>4</sup>National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, USA

<sup>5</sup>South African Institute for Medical Research, South Africa

16

3

4

5

6

7 8 9

Received 10 June 2003; received in revised form 10 June 2003; accepted 12 June 2003

#### Abstract

20 Five hundred and nine workers at a manganese (Mn) smelting works comprising eight production facilities and 67 21 external controls were studied cross-sectionally. Exposure measures from personal sampling included inhalable dust, cumulative exposure indices (CEI) and average intensity (INT = CEI/years exposed) calculated for the current job at 22 23 the smelter and also across all jobs held by subjects. Biological exposure was measured by Mn in the blood (MnB) and 24 urine (MnU) and biological effect was measured by serum prolactin. Average lifetime exposure intensity across all jobs 25 ranged from near 0 (0.06  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) for unexposed external referents to 5 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Atmospheric exposures and MnB and 26 MnU distributions were consistent with published data for both unexposed and smelter workers. Associations between 27 biological exposures and groups defined by atmospheric exposures in the current job were substantial for MnB, less so 28 for MnU and absent for serum prolactin. Random sampling of MnB measurements representative of a group of workers with more than 1-2 years of service in the same job and notionally homogenous exposure conditions could serve as a 29 30 cross-sectional predictor of atmospheric Mn exposure in the current job, as well as for surveillance of Mn exposure 31 trends over time. Correlations at the individual level were only modest for MnB (33% of the variance in log atmospheric 32 Mn intensity in the current job was explained by log MnB), much worse for MnU (only 7%). However, a receiver 33 operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was performed which showed that it is possible to use a MnB cut-off of 10  $\mu$ g/l 34 (the 95th percentile in the unexposed) to good effect as a screening tool to discriminate between individual exposures 35 exceeding and falling below a relatively strict atmospheric Mn exposure threshold at the ACGIH threshold limit value (TLV) of 0.2 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. MnU has no utility as a measure of biological exposure nor does serum prolactin as a measure of 36

37 *biological effect.* 

38 © 2003 Published by Elsevier Inc.

#### 39 Keywords: Manganese; Exposure; Biomarkers; Prolactin; Surveillance

### 40

· C

### **INTRODUCTION**

\*Corresponding author. Tel.: +27-21-4066306; fax: +27-21-4066163. *E-mail address:* myers@cormack.uct.ac.za (J.E. Myers). This study of atmospheric and biological exposures 42 in relation to neurobehavioural outcomes among large 43 numbers of ferroalloy workers aimed to shed further 44

<sup>1 0161-813</sup>X/03/\$ – see front matter © 2003 Published by Elsevier Inc.

<sup>2</sup> doi:10.1016/S0161-813X(03)00082-2

2

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

light on associations with and between biological expo-45 sures (manganese concentrations in blood (MnB) and 46 urine (MnU)) and biological effect (serum prolactin) 47 measures and exposure intensity and cumulative expo-48 sure for manganese based on either the current job or 49 across all exposed jobs. The potential of these biological 50 measures for group surveillance, and for individual 51 screening in relation to the exceedances of commonly 52 used exposure limits such as the ACGIH threshold limit 53 value (TLV), was also explored. 54

There are some uncertainties about the value of bio-55 logical exposure or biological effect monitoring in the 56 estimation of workplace exposure (which can be diffi-57 cult, expensive and impractical to determine) and expo-58 sure-related effects (Smargiassi and Mutti, 1999). Blood 59 and urine Mn have been found to be inconsistently 60 related to atmospheric Mn (MnA) exposure (whether 61 measured as exposure intensity or as cumulative expo-62 sure either in the current job or across all exposed jobs), 63 to each other; and also to biological effect measures and 64 65 other (e.g. neurobehavioural) outcomes.

This applies both to group comparisons as well as to 66 67 individually based correlations. Roels et al. (1987) found that in chemical plant workers MnB was not 68 associated with MnA on a group basis, and that there 69 was neither correlation between MnB and MnU, nor 70 between either of these and atmospheric Mn exposure 71 at the individual level. In a 1992 study of battery 72 workers exposed to MnO<sub>2</sub>, Roels et al. found that only 73 MnU, but neither MnB nor serum prolactin, was sig-74 nificantly associated with MnA on a group basis. They 75 76 found no relationship between MnB or MnU and atmospheric exposures measured as duration, current 77 78 intensity or cumulative exposure at the individual level. Only cumulative exposure to atmospheric Mn was 79 associated with neurobehavioural abnormalities. 80

On the other hand, Lucchini et al. (1995) found 81 significant associations between MnB and MnU, and 82 between both of these and the cumulative exposure 83 index (CEI), at the individual level in ferroalloy work-84 85 ers who had been temporarily laid off. Furthermore, MnB and MnU were both associated with neurobeha-86 vioural abnormalities. As these relationships, espe-87 cially for MnB, were strengthened after cessation of 88 exposure, MnB was thought to reflect the Mn body 89 burden more accurately without confounding by acute 90 exposure. By contrast, for currently employed workers, 91 Lucchini et al. (1999) found no relationship between 92 biological exposure measures and CEI on the one hand, 93 nor with neurobehavioural test results on the other. 94 There was, however, an association between MnB and 95 exposure intensity. 96

More recently, Apostoli et al. (2000) investigated the 97 suitability of MnB and MnU for exposure assessment, 98 and concluded that while MnB and MnU can discri-99 minate between exposed and unexposed groups of 100 workers, and while there was a linear relationship 101 between MnB and atmospheric Mn intensity in the 102 current job, variability was too high (with only 13% of 103 the variance explained) to be of use in individual 104 biological monitoring. 105

Roels et al. (1992) found that serum prolactin as a106presumptive measure of effect was not related to atmo-107spheric Mn exposure, while Mutti et al. (1996) and108Smargiassi and Mutti (1999) found raised levels in109ferroalloy workers. Mutti et al. (1996) also found that110MnB and MnU were associated with serum prolactin111levels.112

Occupational health practitioners responsible for Mn 113 exposed workers require surveillance tools to help 114 reduce exposure and prevent adverse health effects. 115 The utility of biological exposure and effect measures 116 has become increasingly important to establish as 117 occupational and environmental exposure limits for 118 manganese have been set increasingly lower in recent 119 years in order to prevent early nervous system effects. 120 Exposure-response relationships with neurobeha-121 vioural outcomes are dealt with in a companion paper 122 (Myers et al., in press). 123

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cross-sectional study was conducted on 509 man-125 ganese exposed subjects drawn from one of eight 126 production environments in a Mn smelting works in 127 South Africa. Different plants or activities at the works 128 were divided into notionally high (three ferro- and 129 silico-Mn smelters), medium (one ferro-silicon smel-130 ter, raw and finished materials handling plants) and low 131 (quality control laboratories, administration and secur-132 ity workers, and a chemical plant making no use of 133 Mn). The low exposure group served as an internal 134 control with no direct Mn exposure. The smelter has 135 been producing Mn ferroalloys for about 50 years and 136 is one of the largest global producers of Mn. Ore comes 137 in by rail to a raw materials handling yard where it is 138 crushed and sorted, and conveyed to the smelter plant 139 furnaces where it is smelted using the Soderberg 140 process. Molten ore is then tapped from the bottom 141 of the furnaces and poured into ladles from where it is 142 separated from slag and transported to casting bays. 143 When the casts have cooled they are transported by 144 front end loader to the final products yard where they 145

3

are crushed, sorted and dispatched. The two non-man-146 ganese producing plants at the works are a dense ferro-147 148 silicon smelter and a chemical factory producing phosphates. Apart from water misters in the materials 149 handling yards there were no engineering controls 150 limiting exposure to workers in place, particularly 151 not at the furnaces. Where personal protective equip-152 ment was in use this involved disposable filtering face 153 piece respirators. Few changes affecting occupational 154 hygiene had been made over the years. Additionally, 67 155 external unexposed reference workers from an elec-156 157 trical fittings assembly plant were included in the study.

There were 1380 production workers at the works of which 200 subjects were randomly selected in each of three subjectively determined exposure groups. Maintenance workers with highly variable exposures were excluded. High exposure was considered to be above 2 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, medium between 2 and 0.1 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, and low exposure below 0.1 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

Sampling for atmospheric Mn measurements followed a NIOSH method (NIOSH, 1977) to capture
at least one individual from the highest 10% of an
exposure group with 90% confidence. Subjects were
drawn from all homogeneous exposure locations and/
or jobs in smelter and control plants.

Full-shift personal breathing zone inhalable dust and 171 fume samples were collected. Employees wore 172 Gilian<sup>®</sup> Gilair constant-flow personal air sampling 173 pumps using Institute of Medicine inhalable dust sam-174 pling heads with 25 mm  $\times$  1.2 µm pore size, mixed 175 cellulose-ester membrane filters, connected to pumps 176 by Tyvek<sup>®</sup> tubing. Pumps operated at 2 l/min. Blood 177 and urine specimens were collected for the determina-178 179 tion of MnB, MnU and serum prolactin as described elsewhere (Myers et al., in press). 180

All air samples were analysed by using a modified 181 182 NIOSH method 7300, which was designed to optimise for the presence of Mn including insoluble SiMn 183 (NIOSH, 1999). The IOM cassettes were first rinsed 184 with deionised water to remove any dust, which might 185 have remained in the cowl of the IOM filter cassette 186 holder. The rinsate was added to the filter samples. 187 Filters were digested using a CEM MARSX micro-188 wave digester. A combination of hydrochloric, nitric 189 and hydrofluoric acid were used together to digest the 190 Mn and any silica compounds, which might have 191 bound to Mn compounds. A Varian<sup>®</sup> Vista simulta-192 neous inductively coupled plasma optical emission 193 spectrometer (ICP-OES) was used for all the analyses. 194 Three levels of in-house quality control were prepared 195 by spiking blank filters with stock manganese solution. 196

The filters were digested and analysed in the same

manner as the samples with each batch of analyses.198The mean recovery was 102%. The CV ranged between1993.41 and 3.48%. Three levels of Certified Reference200Material (CRM) from United States National Institute of201Standards and Technology no. 2676d were digested and202analysed in the same manner. Mean recovery was203101.6% (standard acceptable range 95–105%).204

Heparinised whole blood samples were diluted 10 205 times using Triton X100 and manganese content on the 206 diluent was measured by a Varian<sup>®</sup> graphite furnace 207 atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Varian<sup>®</sup> Spec-208 tra AA 30) coupled with a Zeeman effect background 209 correction system (Varian<sup>®</sup> Zeeman graphite tube 210 atomiser). Standards and CRM (Seronorm<sup>TM</sup> trace 211 elements, whole blood, level II) were run at the begin-212 ning, during and at the end of each batch of samples 213 that were analysed. The mean and the CV of all the 214 results obtained from the CRM was 11.0 (10.3-215 11.7)  $\mu$ g/l (recommended value 13.0  $\mu$ g/l) and 6.45%, 216 respectively. 217

Urine samples were diluted five times with 0.1N 218 nitric acid and analysed by a Varian<sup>®</sup> AA 975 atomic 219 absorption spectrophotometer equipped with GTA-95 220 furnace and autosampler. Standards and CRM (Sero-221 norm<sup>TM</sup> trace elements, urine and BIO RAD Lypho-222 check<sup>®</sup> level I) was run at the beginning, during and at 223 the end of each batch of samples that were analysed. 224 The mean and the CV of all the results obtained from 225 the Seronorm R was 10.51 (8.23–11.8)  $\mu$ g/l (recom-226 mended value 13.0  $\mu$ g/l) and 12.2%, respectively. The 227 mean and the CV for BIO RAD Lyphocheck<sup>®</sup> level I 228 was 6.3  $(5.4-7.2) \mu g/l$  (recommended 5.9 (4.4-7.0)229  $\mu$ g/l) and 14%, respectively. ≷

Two different types of exposure intensity and cumu-231 lative exposure measures were calculated, reflecting 232 lifetime and current job exposure. For all jobs in a 233 working lifetime, a cumulative exposure index was 234 calculated for each subject by multiplying the mean 235 Mn inhalable dust concentration characteristic of each 236 job or activity by the number of years worked in that 237 job, and summing these products over all jobs worked 238 by each subject at the works. The CEI was divided by 239 total years of service at the works (LOS) to yield a 240 measure of mean exposure intensity over all jobs at the 241 works (INT). The same was done for exposures in the 242 current job. 243

Uni- and bivariate data exploration were used to describe the atmospheric and biological exposure variables and explore their inter-relationships. The nonparametric distributions of MnB, MnU and serum prolactin were examined within categories of different atmospheric exposure variables including exposure 249 4

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

J.E. Myers et al. / NeuroToxicology xxx (2003) xxx-xxx

intensity and cumulative exposure in the current job 250 and across all jobs held, as well as with total years of 251 service at the smelter. Conclusions about the nature of 2.52 associations between biological and atmospheric expo-253 sures at the grouped level are based on examination of 254 coefficients in categorical exposure modelling using 255 multiple regression. Individual correlations of log-256 transformed data were examined using Pearson's r 257 correlation coefficient. 258

To address the question of whether MnB or MnU 259 might be used as a surrogate for job history based 260 exposure measures to flag individuals exceeding cer-261 tain average exposure intensity levels, a receiver oper-262 ating characteristic (ROC) analysis was carried out. 263 Stata 6.0 software was used (STATA, 1999). Associa-264 tions of biological exposure and effect measures with 265 neurobehavioural outcomes are examined elsewhere 266 (Myers et al., in press). 267

The study was approved by the ethics and research 268 committee of the Health Sciences Faculty of the Uni-269 270 versity of Cape Town. Informed consent was signed by all participants. A Research Reference Panel was set up 271 272 with representatives of workers and their trade unions, management and researchers to oversee all aspects of 273 the study and to assist the research team. The reference 274 panel served as a conduit for stakeholder input to the 275 research process. Although the study was funded by the 276 company, independence of the researchers in the 277 design, conduct, analysis and interpretation and report-278 ing of the results was ensured in the research contract 279 which included the right to presentation and publica-280 281 tion of findings in the scientific media.

### RESULTS

283 Some 442 personal inhalable dust samples were 284 measured in various homogeneous exposure zones

Table 2	
Atmospheric and biological exposures	

Table 1			
Categorisation	of	exposure	variables

	1		
Category	Exposure range Significance (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )		п
Average e	xposure intensity ad	cross all jobs (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	
0	0	Unexposed controls	67
1	$0 < x \le 0.1$	LOAEL <sup>a</sup> in literature	105
2	$0.1 < x \le 0.2$	ACGIH TLV (1996)	50
3	$0.2 < x \le 1$	SA OEL <sup>b</sup> for fumes	235
4	$1 < x \leq 2$	Company advisors'	59
		safe level	
5	>2		59
Cumulativ	e exposure index (1	mg-years/m <sup>3</sup> )	
0	0		67
1	$0 < x \le 1.3$		104
2	$1.3 < x \le 5.4$		98
3	$5.4 < x \le 10.6$		103
4	$10.6 < x \le 22.4$		101
5	>22.4		102

<sup>a</sup> Lowest observable adverse effect level.

<sup>b</sup> South Africa occupational exposure limit.

for jobs in different production locations enabling 285 the construction of exposure indices shown in Table 1. 286

Arithmetic means, geometric means and maxima 287 for intensity of exposure in the current job were 288 0.006, 0.005 and 0.01 within the chemical plant; 289 0.04, 0.03 and 0.11 for security; 0.04, 0.03 and 290 0.04 for administrative personnel; 0.21, 0.16 and 291 0.52 for the ferri-silicon smelter; and 0.36, 0.26 292 and 0.56 for materials handling. The three Mn smelter 293 plants had exposures ranging from a low of 0.80, 0.69 294 and 1.29 through 1.40, 1.16 and 2.82 to a high of 2.70, 295 1.97 and 5.08. 296

No association was found with serum prolactin and 297 any measure of atmospheric or biological exposure 298 (Table 2). With one exception, 27  $\mu$ g/l, all values fell 299 within the normal laboratory range of 2.1–17.7  $\mu$ g/l for 300 males. Fig. 1 typifies the absence of association in the 301

Atmospheric and biological exposures									
Y S	n <sup>a</sup>	Mean (S.D.)	Geometric mean (G.S.D.)	Minimum	Maximum				
Cumulative exposure index across all jobs (mg-years/m <sup>3</sup> )	508	16.0 (22.4)	5.1 (6.7)	0	137.6				
CEI in current job only	511	12.7 (21.3)	3.3 (7.9)	0	137.6				
Average intensity across all jobs (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )		0.8 (1.1)	0.3 (5.5)	0	5.1				
INT for the current job only	507	0.9 (1.2)	0.3 (6.3)	0.003	5.1				
Total length of service	576	17.2 (8.1)	14.7 (2.0)	0.4	42				
MnB (µg/l)	482	11.7 (5.6)	10.6 (1.6)	3.3	44				
MnU (µg/l)	481	9.2 (19.1)	3.3 (3.9)	0.5	170				
Serum prolactin (µg/l)	456	6.1 (2.9)	5.5 (1.5)	1.7	27				

<sup>a</sup> For workers in the smelter works only.

J.E. Myers et al. /NeuroToxicology xxx (2003) xxx-xxx



Fig. 1. Smoothed bivariate plot of serum prolactin vs. cumulative exposure across all jobs.

case of cumulative exposure across all jobs. The resultsfor exposure in the current job are similar.

Table 3 shows non-parametric distributions for MnB 304 by different exposure categories. The median values 305 are very close to the geometric means 6.2, 7.5, 10, 11.1, 306 14.2 and 15.2, respectively. Multiple regression ana-307 lysis shows that mean log MnB in every exposed 308 category is significantly different from the unexposed, 309 and also increases significantly for each higher cate-310 gory of current job exposure intensity amongst the 311 exposed. The same is not true for average intensity 312 across all exposed jobs in that the mean does not 313 always increase significantly for each consecutive 314 315 higher exposure category.

Fig. 2 shows the distribution of blood Mn by different exposure categories. Multiple regression analysis shows that mean log MnU for any category of atmospheric Mn exposure is significantly higher than in the unexposed, but that there is no significant increase in the geometric mean between any two exposure categories (Table 4). 322

Table 5 shows that individual correlations with323logged exposure intensity in the current job are rela-324tively good for log MnB. Fig. 3 shows some saturation325at 2 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Individual correlations with log exposure326intensity in the current job are relatively poor with log327MnU. The log MnB and log MnU are significantly328correlated (r = 0.43, P < 0.0001).329

Fig. 4 shows the estimated ROC curve for MnB used 330 as a screen to identify individuals whose exposure 331 intensity in the current job exceeds the ACGIH TLV 332 level of  $0.2 \text{ mg/m}^3$ . for exposure intensity in the current 333 job. The discrimination here is quite good. For 334 instance, if a threshold for MnB of 10 µg/l is used 335

Table 3MnB vs. current job exposure intensity

Intensity in current	MnB (µg/	MnB (µg/l)							
job (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	n	Minimum	Maximum	Median	10th percentile	90th percentile			
Unexposed	63	3.3	10.9	6.2	4.7	9.1			
Total exposed	419	3.3	44	11.4	7	19.4			
Intensity range									
$0 < x \le 0.1$	64	3.3	19.3	7.6	5.1	10.7			
$0.1 < x \le 0.2$	67	4.6	41.2	9.4	6.4	14.7			
$0.2 < x \le 1.0$	127	5.1	44	11.7	7.4	16.3			
$1.0 < x \le 2.0$	67	8.2	43.3	13.1	9.5	21.0			
>2.0	92	5.5	38.7	14.8	10.4	24.3			

J.E. Myers et al. / NeuroToxicology xxx (2003) xxx-xxx



Fig. 2. MnB vs. category of exposure intensity in the current job.

Table	4						
MnU	vs.	current	job	ex	posure	inten	sity

Intensity in current	MnU (µg	/1)				
job (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	n	Minimum	Maximum	Median	10th percentile	90th percentile
Unexposed	66	0.5	35	0.7	0.5	1.7
Total exposed	419	0.5	170	4	0.8	23.4
Intensity range						
$0 < x \le 0.1$	64	0.5	51	1.4	0.6	16
$0.1 < x \le 0.2$	64	0.5	98.7	3	0.6	17.9
$0.2 < x \le 1.0$	130	0.5	124	4.1	0.9	17.4
$1.0 < x \le 2.0$	64	0.6	48.4	6.2	1.3	26.4
>2.0	90	0.7	170	5.7	1.4	55.4

(based on the 95th percentile in the unexposed referent
group) to discriminate, the estimated sensitivity (percent of those truly in exceedance of the ACGIH threshold who are correctly identified) is 80% (95%)
confidence interval: (75, 85%)); and estimated specificity (percent of those truly below the ACGIH thresh-

Table 5

Individual correlations (Pearson's *r*) between logged values for MnB and MnU and logged environmental Mn

MnB	MnU
0.57	0.26
0.53	0.25
0.44	0.23
0.44	0.20
0.27	0.16
	MnB 0.57 0.53 0.44 0.44 0.27

old who are correctly identified) is 81% (95%342confidence interval: (76, 86%)). The estimated positive343predictive value (percent of those flagged by blood Mn344who are truly in exceedance of the ACGIH threshold) is34587% (95% confidence interval: (82, 92%)). The ROC346curve for average exposure intensity across all jobs is347similar but the performance is somewhat poorer.348

Table 6 shows the results of a sensitivity analysis 349 with estimated sensitivity, specificity, positive and 350 negative predictive values and overall percent correctly 351 identified for a blood Mn threshold of 10 µg/l used to 352 identify individuals with exposure intensity levels in 353 the current job and also average exposure intensity 354 across all jobs in exceedance of 0.2, 0.5, 1 and 2 mg/ 355 m<sup>3</sup>, respectively. Performance for MnU was much 356 poorer, and similar analyses with selected neurobeha-357 vioural outcomes performed even worse. 358

J.E. Myers et al. /NeuroToxicology xxx (2003) xxx-xxx



Fig. 4. ROC analysis for MnB screening for exposure intensity in the current job exceeding the ACGIH TLV (0.2 mg/m<sup>3</sup>).

### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

360 These findings contribute to the inconsistent picture in the literature. Overall, the distributions of our biomar-361 362 kers were remarkably similar to those reported by Apostoli et al. (2000) whose ferroalloy workers had 363 similar exposures. Serum prolactin was not associated 364 with any measure of atmospheric or biological exposure, 365 nor with neurobehavioural test results (Myers et al., in 366 press). This agrees with Roels et al. (1992) but not Mutti 367

et al. (1996) who found values of 9.77 (S.D. = 1.69) in 368 exposed ferroalloy workers and 4.65 (S.D. = 1.78) in 369 controls. The latter also found associations with MnB 370 and MnU. Smargiassi and Mutti (1999) later reported a 371 positive association between serum prolactin and atmo-372 spheric Mn at low exposures. Serum prolactin was in our 373 study, however, negatively associated with smoking 374 status, as has been previously reported. 375

Significant associations at the group level were 376 found between both log MnB and log MnU and any 377

J.E. Myers et al. / NeuroToxicology xxx (2003) xxx-xxx

Exposure threshold	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	Correct (%)
Exposure intensity in the	e current job				
0.2 (ACGIH)	80	81	87	71	80
0.5 (UK)	84	71	73	82	77
1	92	52	34	96	60
2	93	51	20	98	56
Average exposure intens	ity across all jobs				
0.2 (ACGIH)	76	77	84	65	76
0.5 (UK)	83	65	65	82	73
1	89	51	32	95	59
2	96	48	18	99	54

Table 6						
Performance of MnB	is screening	for atmosp	heric exposure	intensity	at different	thresholds

measure of exposure intensity or cumulative expo-378 sure-whether in the current job, averaged across all 379 380 jobs, or as a measure of chronic exposure (leaving out the last year). There were no meaningful differences 381 between our measures of chronic cumulative exposure 382 and intensity, and those measured across all jobs. 383 384 Lucchini et al. (1995) observed associations between MnB and CEI across all jobs in workers who were not 385 386 currently exposed. In 1999, Lucchini et al. observed associations between MnB and current exposure inten-387 sity in currently exposed workers, but not with cumu-388 lative exposure. Apostoli et al. (2000) and Lucchini 389 et al. (1995, 1999) propose that MnB reflects mainly 390 the body burden of Mn in currently unexposed workers, 391 and that this is why it is correlated with neurobeha-392 393 vioural outcomes in such workers. For those currently exposed, and for whom MnB reflects the impact of 394 395 current exposure as well as body burden, such correlations are not found. Our results showing stronger 396 397 associations for current job measures, especially intensity, provide some confirmation for Apostoli et al.'s 398 (2000) and Lucchini et al.'s (1995) observations that 399 the biomarkers of exposure significantly reflect current 400 atmospheric exposure intensity while workers are 401 exposed, as opposed to simply reflecting the body 402 burden of Mn when workers are removed from expo-403 404 sure. Additionally, neither MnB or MnU in our study were associated with neurobehavioural test results, 405 providing further confirmation of this interpretation 406 although this is not surprising as there were few con-407 vincing neurobehavioural effects of Mn exposure 408 found in our study (Myers et al., in press). Had there 409 been clear effects it would have been possible to further 410 explore the utility of MnB might as a screening device. 411 At the individual level there were significant corre-412 lations between log MnB and all other exposure vari-413

ables—more strongly for intensity and cumulativeexposure in the current job. There were no meaningful

differences between correlations for atmospheric man-416 ganese based on chronic measures or those based on 417 cumulative exposure across all jobs which is not 418 surprising since the mean years of service was high 419 at 17.2. MnB explained a relatively modest 33% of the 420 variance in atmospheric Mn. This was somewhat 421 higher than the 13% found by Apostoli et al. (2000) 422 who concluded that MnB is a poor biomarker of 423 exposure due to its high variability. 424

However, a ROC analysis was performed which 425 showed that it is possible to use an MnB cut-off of 426 10 µg/l to good effect as a screening tool to discrimi-427 nate between individual exposures exceeding or falling 428 below a relatively strict atmospheric Mn exposure 429 threshold at the ACGIH TLV of 0.2 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. If the 430 Mn exposure threshold is calculated from exposure 431 intensity in the current job, an MnB exceeding 10 432 discriminates well for current exposure exceeding 433 the TLV. If the threshold is calculated from the average 434 intensity across all jobs MnB still discriminates well 435 between a body burden resulting from long-term expo-436 sure intensity at the ACGIH TLV. For exposure inten-437 sity in the current job, MnB exceeding 10 µg/l also 438 performs well at an exposure threshold of  $0.5 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , 439 which is the exposure limit currently proposed by the 440 UK Health and Safety Executive (HSE). The value 441 10 µg/l usefully corresponds to the 95th percentile of 442 the MnB distribution in the unexposed referents. 443

While exceeding a MnB of  $10 \mu g/l$  may be useful to 444 identify individuals in exceedance of strict thresholds 445 such as the ACGIH, its utility diminishes with more 446 lenient exposure thresholds due to increasingly poor 447 specificity. Similar analyses for MnU at a cut-off of 448 2.8 µg/l (95th percentile in the unexposed) at the 449 ACGIH TLV threshold showed poor discrimination 450 (sensitivity only 62% at specificity of 75%). 451

An important objective of the study was to identify 452 useful tools for surveillance and screening at group and 453

individual levels. Occupational hygiene surveys, and 454 construction of complicated job exposure matrices, and 455 linking exposure to health outcome data at a workplace 456 are all demanding, time-consuming and expensive 457 tasks. Surrogate measures for estimating exposure 458 and risk are therefore very attractive. Of the three 459 measures investigated here, MnB alone has utility 460 for group exposure surveillance. The mean calculated 461 from randomly sampled MnB measurements represen-462 tative of a homogenous exposure group of workers with 463 more than 1 year of service in their current job, could 464 serve as a cross-sectional predictor of atmospheric Mn 465 exposure. It could also serve well for surveillance of 466 atmospheric Mn exposure intensity trends over time for 467 that group/job, helping to monitor success in lowering 468 469 workplace exposures. NIOSH sampling strategies could be employed (NIOSH, 1977). 470

At the individual level, it is possible to use an MnB 471 of 10 µg/l cut-off to good effect as a screening tool 472 discriminating well between individual exposures 473 exceeding and falling below a relatively strict atmo-474 spheric Mn exposure range between the current 475 ACGIH TLV of  $0.2 \text{ mg/m}^3$  and the level currently 476 being considered by the UK HSE  $(0.5 \text{ mg/m}^3)$ . Positive 477 478 predictive values will decline if exposure conditions improve in relation to this threshold range resulting in a 479 480 declining prevalence of exceedances. MnU has poor utility as a measure of biological exposure as does 481 serum prolactin as a measure of biological effect. 482 These findings are not generalisable beyond those with 483 similar exposures to manganese ferroalloy smelter 484 workers. 485

### **UNCITED REFERENCES**

#### 487 Cleveland (1979), Lucchini et al. (1997).

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to express their appreciation to the
Research Reference Panel members for their support
and assistance throughout the study, to Dr. Beau Dees
of AFROHC for access to the external control plant,
and to all the workers and management personnel

involved as subjects. We also wish to thank the interviewers Sol Selepe, Lerato Selepe, and two others, for
their tireless efforts during the prolonged data collection phase. The study was funded by research grants to
the University of Cape Town from the company and
from the South African Medical Research Council.

### REFERENCES

- ACGIH. Threshold limit values for chemical substances and 501 physical agents and biological exposure indices. Cincinnati, 502 OH: American Conference of Governmental Industrial 503 Hygienists; 1996.
  Apostoli P, Lucchini R, Alessio L. Are current biomarkers suitable 505
- for the assessment of manganese exposure in individual 506 workers? Am J Ind Med 2000;283–90. 507
- Cleveland WS. Robust locally weighted regression and smoothing scatterplots. J Am Stat Assoc 1979;74:829–36. 509
- Lucchini R, Selis L, Folli D, Apostoli P, Mutti A, Vanoni O et al.
  Neurobehavioural effects of manganese in workers from a ferroalloy plant after temporary cessation of exposure. Scand J
  Work Environ Health 1995;21(2):143–9.
- Lucchini R, Bergamaschi E, Smargiassi A, Festa D, Apostoli P.
  Motor function, olfactory threshold and hematological indices in manganese-exposed ferroalloy workers. Environ Res 1997; 73(1–2):175–80 [erratum 75(2):187].
- Lucchini R, Apostoli P, Perrone C, Placidi D, Albini E, Migliorati P et al. Long-term exposure to "low levels" of manganese oxides and neurofunctional changes in ferroalloy workers. Neurotoxicology 1999;20(2–3):287–97. 521
- Mutti A, Bergamaschi E, Alinovi R, Lucchini R, Vettori MV, Franchini I. Serum prolactin in subjects occupationally exposed to manganese. Ann Clin Lab Sci 1996;26(1):10–7. 524
- Myers JE, Thompson ML, Ramushu S, Young T, Jeebhay MF,525London L, et al. The nervous system effects of occupational526exposure on workers in a South African manganese smelter.527Neurotoxicology, in press.528
- NIOSH. Occupational exposure sampling strategy manual.529NIOSH Publication 77-173. Cincinnati, OH: National Institute530for Occupational Safety and Health; 1977.531
- Roels H, Lauwerys R, Genet P, Sarhan MJ, de Fays M, Hanotiau I,532et al. Relationship between external and internal parameters of533exposure to manganese in workers from a manganese oxide and534salt producing plant. Am J Ind Med 1987;297–305.535
- Roels HA, Ghyselen P, Buchet JP, Ceulemans E, Lauwerys RR.
  Assessment of the permissible exposure level to manganese in workers exposed to manganese dioxide dust. Br J Ind Med 538 1992;49(1):25–34.
- Smargiassi A, Mutti A. Peripheral biomarkers and exposure to 540 manganese. Neurotoxicology 1999;20(2–3):401–6. 541
- STATA. Intercooled Stata 6.0. USA: Stata Corporation; 1999. 542